

Enhancing Semantic Interoperability of Medical Devices with Standardized Ontologies

Edson Perrone*

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of New, Canada

Correspondence to:

Edson Perrone

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering,
University of New, Canada,
Email: perrone@ieee.org

Citation: Perrone E (2023). Enhancing Semantic Interoperability of Medical Devices with Standardized Ontologies. *EJBI*. 19 (2):162-163.

DOI: 10.24105/ejbi.2022.19.2.162-163

Received: 05-Feb-2023, Manuscript No. ejbi-23-93733;

Editor assigned: 08-Feb-2023, Pre QC No. ejbi-23-93733 (PQ);

Reviewed: 22-Feb-2023, QC No. ejbi-23-93733;

Revised: 24-Feb-2023, Manuscript No. ejbi-23-93733(R);

Published: 28-Feb-2023

Abstract

The analysis of patient data, which can originate from a variety of sources and modalities, including electronic health records, the results of diagnostic tests, and medical scans, is the goal of the science and engineering field known as health informatics. Computational methods can be used to solve a very wide range of problems in

the health area. Health informatics is a broad category of multidisciplinary disciplines that involves research into the conception, creation, and use of computational breakthroughs for bettering healthcare.

Keywords

Conception, Creation, Health care.

1. Introduction

Semantic interoperability is the ability of different computer systems and devices to understand and exchange information with each other in a meaningful way. In the context of medical devices, semantic interoperability is essential for ensuring that medical data can be accurately and efficiently exchanged between devices and systems, regardless of the manufacturer or operating system. Standardized ontologies, such as the Systematized Nomenclature of Medicine (SNOMED) or the International Classification of Diseases (ICD), are a key tool for enhancing semantic interoperability in healthcare. These ontologies provide a standardized vocabulary of terms and concepts that can be used to describe medical conditions, treatments, and procedures [1]. By using standardized ontologies, medical devices can ensure that the data they generate is consistent and can be understood by other devices and systems that use the same ontology. This can help to prevent errors and misunderstandings that could lead to incorrect diagnoses or treatments. It can also facilitate the sharing of data between different healthcare providers, which is becoming increasingly important as healthcare becomes more data-driven and patient-centered. In addition to improving interoperability, standardized ontologies can also help to promote best practices in healthcare. For example, they can be used to identify and track patterns of disease, to develop evidence-based treatment protocols, and to support research and development in new treatments and technologies [2].

Overall, the use of standardized ontologies is essential for ensuring that medical devices can communicate effectively with

each other and with other healthcare systems. As the healthcare industry continues to evolve, it is likely that the importance of standardized ontologies will only continue to grow [3]. Ontologies are formal representations of knowledge, typically expressed in a machine-readable language, that provide a shared vocabulary and a standardized way of representing concepts and relationships within a particular domain. In the context of medical devices, ontologies can be used to standardize the representation of clinical concepts and data elements, enabling seamless data exchange and improving the accuracy and interoperability of medical devices. There are several standardized ontologies that are widely used in the medical domain, such as SNOMED CT, LOINC, and ICD-10. SNOMED CT (Systematized Nomenclature of Medicine Clinical Terms) is a comprehensive clinical terminology that covers a wide range of medical concepts and is used to represent clinical data in EHRs and other healthcare IT systems. LOINC (Logical Observation Identifiers Names and Codes) is a standardized coding system for laboratory and clinical observations, measurements, and documents. ICD-10 (International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision) is a standardized classification system for diseases and related health problems [4].

By adopting standardized ontologies, medical device manufacturers can ensure that their devices use a common vocabulary and data representation that is compatible with other healthcare IT systems. This enables medical devices to seamlessly integrate with EHRs, clinical decision support systems, and other healthcare IT systems, improving the quality and accuracy of patient care by adopting standardized ontologies,

medical devices can improve interoperability and ensure that data is exchanged accurately and efficiently. This can help to reduce errors, improve patient outcomes, and streamline healthcare workflows. It is essential for device manufacturers and healthcare providers to work together to develop and implement standards for ontologies and other interoperability mechanisms to ensure the safe and effective use of medical devices. In conclusion, the use of standardized ontologies can greatly enhance the semantic interoperability of medical devices, enabling seamless data exchange and improving the accuracy and quality of patient care.

There are several standardized ontologies that are specifically designed for use in the healthcare industry, such as the Systematized Nomenclature of Medicine Clinical Terms (SNOMED CT), the Logical Observation Identifiers Names and Codes (LOINC), and the Unified Medical Language System (UMLS). These ontologies provide a standard vocabulary and set of concepts that can be used to describe medical conditions, procedures, and other relevant information [5]. Ontology is a formal representation of knowledge, including concepts and their relationships that can be used to facilitate information exchange and interoperability. By using a common ontology, medical devices can communicate and exchange data in a standardized way, reducing the risk of errors and misunderstandings.

2. References

1. Gibaud B, Forestier G, Feldmann C, Ferrigno G, Gonçalves P, Haidegger T, et al. Toward a standard ontology of surgical process models. *Int J Comput Assist Radiol Surg*. 2018; 1397-408.
2. Hakimi O, Gelpi JL, Krallinger M, Curi F, Repchevsky D, Ginebra MP. The devices, experimental scaffolds, and biomaterials ontology (DEB): A tool for mapping, annotation, and analysis of biomaterials data. *Adv Funct Mater*. 2020; 30(16):1909910.
3. Ali S, Kiefer S. Semantic coordination of ambient intelligent medical devices in future laboratories. *MASAUM Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences (MJBAS)*. 2009; 1(2).
4. Carbonaro A, Piccinini F, Reda R. Integrating heterogeneous data of healthcare devices to enable domain data management. *J E-Learn Knowl Soc*. 2018; 14(1).
5. Elhadj HB, Sallabi F, Henaïen A, Chaari L, Shuaib K, Al Thawadi M. Do-Care: A dynamic ontology reasoning based healthcare monitoring system. *Future Gener Comput Syst*. 2021; 118:417-31.